he described unless his type can be found in Vienna. He gave the localities Mediterranean Sea and Amboina.

Buccinum bilineatum Gmelin, 1791, was based upon an unlocalized figure in Lister, which has some resemblance to our peristephes, but it is not possible to tell whether the markings at shoulder are knobs or color spots, the bilineate pattern is unlike any peristephes seen, and spiral sulcation is represented as distinct throughout. It does not seem sufficiently definite for consideration.

Buccinum trifasciatum Gmelin, 1791, refers to a rough, foreshortened engraving of some form of the S. gibba group. It had

better be given up as inadequately defined.

Buccinum undulatum Gmelin, 1791, rests upon Lister's 996: 61, labeled Barbados. It is a large form which can hardly be anything else than inflata Shaw; yet the figure is so poor that it does not seem wise to adopt the name in place of inflata, especially as some authors have used the name C. undulata for the Mediterranean shell. See Buquoy and Dautzenberg, 1882, Moll. Mar. Roussillon 1: 66, pl. 7, f. 3, 4.

Cassidea sulcosa Bruguière, 1792, probably comprised the preceding as well as some other forms. It has often been used for S. gibba, but besides some doubt as to exactly what Bruguière

had, the name is later than gibba.

Buccinum testudo Solander, of the Portland Catalogue, 1786, which Dall marked "+ Cassis sulcosa" (Nautilus 34: 98), was based on figures of a very different Dolium (Tonna), not a Cassis. We do not know what Dall intended by the plus sign.

NOTE ON THE TYPE OF HELIX (HELICOGENA) GILVUS FÉRUSSAC

BY DR. CARLOS DE LA TORRE

University of Habana

In July, 1925, I had opportunity to study the type specimen of *Helix gilvus* Fér.¹ in the collection of Férussac types in the Museum d'Histoire Naturelle, au Jardin des Plantes, in Paris. Through the courtesy of the Museum authorities I was able to examine this type carefully. It is a unique specimen, perfectly preserved, on its original tablet. As soon as I saw it I realized

¹ Helix (Helicogena) gilvus Férussac, 1821, Tabl. Syst. Fam. Limaçons p. 29, No. 36 (no description or locality). Hist. Nat. Moll. Terr., Fluv., pl. 21 B, f. l; Expl. Pl. Suppl. 1822, p. ii.

that D'Orbigny had committed an error when he applied the name *H. gilva* Fér.² to our Cuban *Cepolis* from Trinidad and Jagua (an ancient name for Cienfuegos). Our species has the same size and general appearance, but it differs by the weakness or absence of pink coloring or stain on the columella, as well as some other characters, such as the details of banding, the apex or embryonic shell, and the interior of the aperture.

78

H. gilvus Fér. has a continuous dark chestnut peripheral line, and another, also continuous, subsutural line; the rest of the bands (four at the base and two or three above), are lighter colored, ferruginous, and interrupted, like species of the group of Cepolis varians, C. troscheli, C. multifasciata and others. The apex has a corneous, somewhat purplish tint under the lens, and the interior of the shell is also somewhat violaceous pinkish. The surface has a distinctly ribbed sculpture.

Upon observing these characters I was convinced that Férussac's H. gilvus is not the Cuban species and that it must be one of the Bahaman group. On looking over the Bahaman species with Dr. Pilsbry, I conclude that it is in all probability identical with $Helix\ milleri\ Pfr.$, which agrees with Férussac's type better than H. multifasciata Weinl.

The Cuban Helix gilva Orb. (nec Férussac) must receive another name, and I propose to call it Cepolis pseudogilva. The name H. corrugata Pfr., 1841, cannot be used on account of the prior Helix corrugata Gmelin, 1791. The name H. pallida Rang MS., in Arango, from "S. Yago de Cuba" (Rang), is equal to H. fuscolabiata Poey.

² Helix gilva Fér., Orbigny, 1841, Hist. Phys., Polit., Nat. de l'Île de Cuba, 1: 157, pl. 8, f. 9, 10, 11. D'Orbigny's figures are about 1½ times natural size. His type was the maximum size, 17 mm. diam.; it is more often about 15 mm. The hill ''La Vigia'' at Trinidad may be accepted as type locality. His figures are good for a common pattern found there.